# **ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY NOTES**

**Expected**: knowledge of Quantum + Perturbation Theory: calculating eigenfunctions that are difficult by using:

$$\hat{H} = H_o + H'$$

where H' is a perturbation on H<sub>o</sub> (zeroth order wavefunction). 1<sup>st</sup> Order: E = E<sub>o</sub> + <H'>

Angular Momentum -

Measure of torque required to stop a body rotating. Single particle:  $I = r^p$ , where I is vector perpendicular to plane of rotation.  $|I|^2 |I| = |I|^2 + |I|^2$ 

$$I_{z} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\hbar}{i} [x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}]$$

$$I^{2} = -\hbar^{2} \Lambda^{2} \qquad \Lambda^{2} = \frac{1}{\sin^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

Commutation –

$$\begin{bmatrix} I^2, I_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I^2, I_x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I^2, I_y \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
  
$$\begin{bmatrix} I_x, I_y \end{bmatrix} = ihI_z \qquad \begin{bmatrix} I_y, I_z \end{bmatrix} = ihI_x \qquad \begin{bmatrix} I_z, I_x \end{bmatrix} = ihI_y$$

Electrons in atoms:

$$[l^2, H] = 0$$
 and  $[l_z, H] = 0$ 

Thus, energy, square of orbital angular momentum and <u>one</u> of its components can all be simultaneously specified (eigenvalues).

#### Hydrogenic (1e) Atoms -

$$\left[\frac{-h^2}{2\mu}\nabla^2 - \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_o r}\right]\psi = E\psi$$

Spherical Symmetry  $\rightarrow$  polar coordinates preferred.

Boundary Conditions,

$$Ψ$$
 finite for all r (including origin).  
 $ψ→0$  as r→∞.  
 $Ψ(r,θ,φ) = Ψ(r, [θ+2nπ], [φ+2mπ])$  n, m integers.

Separate,

$$\psi = R(r) Y(\theta, \phi)$$
 Radial and Angular components

Solutions as,

$$\psi_{n,l,ml} = R_{n,l}(r) Y_{l,ml} (\theta, \phi)$$

Quantisation,

$$E = \frac{-\mu e^{4} z^{2}}{32\pi^{2} \varepsilon_{o}^{2} h^{2} n^{2}} = \frac{-RhcZ^{2}}{n^{2}}$$
  
where  $R = \frac{\mu e^{4}}{8\varepsilon_{o}^{2} h^{3}c}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3...$ 

These Notes are copyright Alex Moss 2003. They may be reproduced without need for permission. www.alchemyst.f2o.org Solving,

$$\frac{-t^{2}}{2\nu} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r^{2}} r \right] R(r) \gamma(\Theta, \phi) = \frac{t^{2}}{2\nu} \left[ \frac{R(r)}{r^{2}} \Lambda^{2} \gamma(\Theta, \phi) \right]$$

$$+ V(r) R(r) \gamma(\Theta, \phi) = E R(r) \gamma(\Theta, \phi)$$

$$\left( \Lambda^{2} = \frac{1}{s_{1}^{2} r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}} + \frac{1}{s_{1}^{2} r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \gamma_{1}^{2} \Theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} \right)$$

Only  $\Lambda^2$  can change  $Y(\theta,\phi)$  variables, therefore for a valid solution it is implied that this does not happen, i.e.

$$\Lambda^{2} Y(\theta, \phi) = C Y(\theta, \phi) \qquad [C = constant]$$

Make substitution to remove angular parts:

$$\frac{-t^2}{2\rho} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} r R(r) + \frac{C}{r^2} R(r) \right] + V(r) R(r) = E R(r) \quad (A)$$

Angular  $\psi$  identical to particle on a sphere, i.e.

$$y(e,d) = \Theta(e)\overline{\Phi}(b)$$

Treat  $\theta$  as constant,

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2}\overline{\Phi}(\phi) = (\text{const})\overline{\Phi}(\phi) \quad (1)$$

This is a particle on a ring,

Subbing 2 into 1,

$$\frac{d^{2}}{d\phi^{2}} \overline{\Phi}(\phi) = -M^{2}\overline{\Phi}(\phi)$$
(eigenfunction)

Choose A =  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$  and B = 0 (Normalised).

Subbing in (1) & (2) into (0):

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{M^2}{s_{in}e_{\theta}} + \frac{1}{s_{in}e_{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial e} s_{in} & \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \end{bmatrix} \Theta(\theta) = C \Theta(\theta)$$

Solve to give Associated Legendre Functions. Boundary Condition gives rise to I quantum numbers.

$$Y_{l,m}(0,\phi)$$
 (spherical harmonic)  
 $\Lambda^2 Y_{l,m} = -i(l+1)Y_{l,m}$   
 $C = -i(l+1).$ 

Sub this into A and let P(r) = r[R(r)]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{t^2}{2\mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + V_{eff} \end{bmatrix} P(r) = EP(r) (3) \text{ Radial}$$

$$V_{eff} = \frac{-Z_{e2}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} + U(U+1) \frac{t^2}{2\mu r^2}$$

V<sub>eff</sub> – effective potential energy → true V + correction for centrifugal E(I). Solve (3) as R(r) → 0, r → ∞ and R(r) finite.

## Quantum Numbers -

# 1) Principle Quantum Number, n.

Determines energy. Determines mean radius of electron orbital. For I = 0,

$$\langle r \rangle = \frac{3}{2} \alpha_0 \frac{r}{Z} \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 h^2}{m_e e^2}$$

## 2) Orbital Angular Momentum, I

Determines "shapes" – angular distribution. Angular momentum.

$$(\underline{L})^{\prime 2} = [L(L+1)]^{\prime 2} K$$

L is a vector of length [I(I+1)]<sup>1/2</sup> h, where I has integral values o  $\rightarrow$  n-1. Electrons with different I have different radial wavefunctions, because of centrifugal effect.

# 3) Magnetic Quantum Number, m

Projection of orbital angular momentum on a given axis  $\rightarrow$  Direction of orbital.

$$<|_{z}> = m_{l}h$$

m<sub>l</sub> takes values –l, -l+1, …, +l i.e. (2l+1) values in total.

# Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions

For H atom, E is independent of I and  $m_I$ . Note: for p orbitals, I = 1.  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ ,  $p_z \neq m_I = 0, \pm 1$ .

$$\Psi_{Px} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \left| n, l = l, m_{l} = -1 \right\rangle - \left| n, l = l, m_{l} = +1 \right\rangle \right]$$

$$\Psi_{Py} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \left| n, l = l, m_{l} = +1 \right\rangle + \left| n, l = l, m_{l} = -1 \right\rangle \right]$$

Real linear combinations:

$$\psi_{pz} = | n, l=1, m_l=0 >$$

For degenerate orbitals, any linear combination is a solution of the Schrodinger Equation.

Solutions to the Schrodinger Equation (1 electron)

R almost constant (depending on atomic number).

 $Y_{l, ml}(\theta, \phi)$  – complex, but independent of n.

 $R_{nl}(r)$  – near nucleus varies as r<sup>l</sup>, but large distances varies as exp [ - Zr/na<sub>o</sub> ]

# Spectrum of a Hydrogen Atom

*Experimental* – low pressure electric discharge in H<sub>2</sub>

- accelerated electrons / ions cause dissociation, ionisation and excitation.

- Electron / proton recombination also important.

Light emitted by excited H atoms analysed by spectrometer. Observe distinct series:

	Lyman (UV)	Balmer (vis)	Paschen (IR)
Emit down to:	n=1	n=2	n=3
	(in absorption obs	erve Lyman only).	



Transition Energies:

$$\Delta E = \pm h c R Z^{2} \left( \frac{1}{n_{\text{lower}}^{2}} - \frac{1}{n_{\text{upper}}^{2}} \right)$$
  
Wavenumber, v =  $\Delta E/hc.$  v =  $RZ^{2} \left( \frac{1}{n''^{2}} - \frac{1}{n'^{2}} \right)$ 

Note the dependence on R, and also the slight isotope dependence of R.

<u>He</u>+ -

$$\overline{V} = 4R\left(\frac{1}{n^2 - \frac{1}{m^2}}\right)$$
If  $n_1 m_2$  even  

$$\overline{V} = 4R\left(\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{(\frac{n}{2})^2}\right) \implies \text{appears like}$$
H lines.

**Selection Rules** – which transitions are allowed.  $\Delta n$  = anything integral.  $\Delta l = \pm 1$ .

 $\Delta m_{I} = 0, \pm 1.$ 

This comes from:

$$\int \psi' \not \psi'' d\tau \neq 0 \quad (i.e. totally symmetric)$$

$$= u_{\text{per}} \qquad (over \\ \text{dipole moment operator} (E & d radiation \\ interaction \\ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \mu E (\mu^{=-e,r})$$

Consider symmetry wrt inversion:

# Electron Spin -

Inferred empirically from very high resolution spectra.

- splittings due to extra degree of freedom.
- Intrinsic angular moment of electron "spin".
- Quantum number  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  only.

<u>Spin Angular Momentum =</u>

$$\sqrt{s(s+1)t} = \frac{\sqrt{s}t}{2}t$$
  
OR  $\hat{s}^{2} \psi_{spin} = 3(s+1)t^{2} \psi_{spin}$   
Spin projection,  $m_{s} = \hat{s}_{2} \psi_{spin} = m_{s}t$ ,  $\psi_{spin}$ 

Degeneracy –

For each  $n \rightarrow n$  values of I, I = 0, 1, ... (n-1) For each I  $\rightarrow$  (2I+1) values of m<sub>I</sub>, m<sub>I</sub> = -I, -I+1, ... +I. Implies total degeneracy of n<sup>2</sup> for each. Including spin  $\rightarrow$  2n<sup>2</sup> degenerate.

## Spin-Orbit Coupling

Spin possesses a magnetic moment.

Orbiting electron creates a magnetic field "seen" by the electron spin. Field due to relative motion of charges – nucleus orbits electron!

Causes coupling of I and s to give total electronic angular momentum, j = I + s.  $j^2$ ,  $j_x^2$ ,  $j_y^2$ ,  $j_z^2$  – same commutation properties as *I* equivalent.

For one-electron case, j is half-integral =  $1 \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . m<sub>j</sub> takes values j, j-1, ... -j, i.e. (2j+1) degenerate.

< l.s > is evaluated using j = 1 + s,  $j^2 = l^2 + s^2 + l.s$ 

$$\frac{F_{0}}{hc} = \frac{1}{2t^{2}} \langle \zeta (j^{2} - (t^{2} - s^{2})) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2t^{2}} \zeta [\langle j^{2} \rangle - \langle (t^{2} \rangle - \langle s^{2} \rangle ]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \zeta [\langle j(j+1) - ((t+1) - s(s+1))]$$

Term Symbols

$$^{2S+1}L_{i}$$
, e.g. 3d  $\rightarrow ^{2}D_{5/2}$ ,  $^{2}D_{3/2}$ 

#### Spectrum Including S-O Coupling

New selection rules –  

$$\Delta J = 0, \pm 1$$
  $\Delta m_j = 0, \pm 1$   $\Delta n = anything$   $\Delta l = \pm 1$ 



Lamb Shift – quantum field effect. Also relativistic increase in mass with velocity.

<u>Schrodinger –</u>

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum -\frac{t^2}{2m_e} \nabla_i^2 + \sum V_{i,m} + \sum V_{i,j} \end{bmatrix} \psi = E \psi$$
electron
electron
KES
electron
repulsions

Energies, E, include the energies of all electrons and all interactions:

$$V_{i,N} = \frac{-Ze^2}{4\pi E_0 F_c}$$
,  $V_{i,j} = 4\pi E_0 |E_i - E_j|$   
con no longer exactly solve S.E

<u>Orbital Approximation</u> – Assume,

$$(t_{space}^{r}, r_{i}, r_{n}) = \phi_{a}(r_{i}) \phi_{b}(r_{2}) \dots \phi_{z}(r_{n})$$

$$3D \quad le \quad u_{superiode} \quad repulsion \quad \partial ven$$

$$positions \quad of \quad all other electrons$$

$$\left[\frac{-t^{2}}{2me} \nabla_{i}^{2} + V_{ini} + \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij}\right] \phi(r_{i}) = \mathcal{E}_{i} \phi(r_{i})$$

1e equation solved by numerical method using self-consistent field approach:

- each electron moves in an orbital in the average field of the other electrons.
- Energies = "orbital energies".

Not quite true, should obey Pauli Exclusion and be linear combination, e.g.

$$\phi_{a}(r_{1}) \phi_{b}(r_{2}) \pm \phi_{a}(r_{2}) \phi_{b}(r_{1})$$

Total wavefunction has to be antisymmetric with respect to interchange of electron coordinates.

#### Self-Consistent Field Approach

Total Energy is not just sum of orbital energies, i.e.  $\Sigma \epsilon_I$  because e-e repulsion is counted twice.

$$E_{tat} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i - \langle \sum_{i\neq j} V_{ij} \rangle$$

NB: as for H atom:

<u>Electron Configuration</u> – which orbitals occupied and how many electrons (Pauli). Use orbital approximation.

Determine Ground State by Aufbau and Pauli Exclusion Principles Li: 1s<sup>2</sup>2s<sup>1</sup> Na: 1s<sup>2</sup>2s<sup>2</sup>2p<sup>6</sup>3s<sup>1</sup>

etc.

# Spectra of Many Electron Atoms

Within Orbital Approximation, can only change orbital of 1 electron during transition, e.g.

Main concern is valence electron excitation. Core electrons can be excited, but much higher energy (ionisation may occur).

## Selection Rules for Alkali Metals

Closed shell core – no resultant spin or orbital angular momentum. Alkali Metals  $\rightarrow$  pseudo-1s atom, so same selection rules as for Hydrogenics.

## Comparison with H-atom -

- 1) different l-states of same n are non-degenerate, due to effects of e-e repulsion (penetration and shielding).
- 2) Bigger spin-orbit coupling (low resolution spectra  $\rightarrow$  observable).

## Penetration and Shielding –

Effects of valence electron  $\leftrightarrow$  core electron repulsion.

Consider Li,

In 1s<sup>2</sup>3d excited configuration, 3d orbital is almost completely outside the core.

- experiences attraction to +3 nucleus
- but repulsion due to core electrons
- act like a -2 point charge "shielding".

Net Effective Nuclear Charge  $\approx$  +1.

In  $1s^22s^1$  configuration, substantial penetration of 2s electron inside  $1s^2$  core  $\rightarrow$  incomplete shielding,  $Z_{eff} \approx + 1.28$ .

 $1s^22p - 2p$  electron less penetrating.  $Z_{eff} \approx + 1.02$ .

Therefore 2s electron is more tightly bound than 2p, therefore lower in energy.

NB: also 2s orbital in Li lower in energy than in H-atom. Same true for 2p, but not as different.

In general, ns < np < nd < nf, etc.

Sodium Atom Spectrum

1)  $3s \rightarrow 3p$  – lowest energy transition in absorption.

cf. H atom 3s and 3p approximately degenerate.

2) Several series:

$$ns \rightarrow 3p$$
SHARP $np \rightarrow 3s$ PRINCIPAL – also present in absorption $nd \rightarrow 3p$ DIFFUSE $nf \rightarrow 3d$ FUNDAMENTAL – almost same E as H-atom transitions

3) <u>Spin-Orbit Splitting –</u>

Same j states obtained as in H-atom but hydrogenic theory does not work. Splittings larger. Increase with Z (not as rapidly as  $Z^4$ ). cf. spin-orbit coupling constants:

Li(2p)	0.3 cm⁻¹	H (2p)	0.243 cm⁻¹
Na (3p)	17.2 cm⁻¹	H (3p)	0.072 cm <sup>-1</sup>
K (4p)	57.7 cm <sup>-1</sup>	H (4p)	0.031 cm <sup>-1</sup>

## Quantum Defect -

For H-atom,  $E = IE - hcR/n^2$ 

For alkali metals, effects of e-e repulsion means energies lower than predicted by Rydberg formula (above).

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{n}\mathsf{l}} = IE - \frac{hcR}{{v_{\mathsf{n}\mathsf{l}}}^2}$$

Where  $v_{nl}$  is effective principal quantum number. Define the quantum defect,

$$\delta_{nl} = n - v_{nl}$$
$$E_{nl} = IE - \frac{hcR}{(n - \delta_{nl})^2}$$

For a given I, it turns out that  $\delta_{nl}$  is almost independent of  $n \rightarrow \delta_{l}$ .

Electrons spend such short time close to the nucleus that its behaviour is almost independent of n. Therefore transition energies:

$$(nl \leftarrow n'l') = hcR\left[\frac{l}{(n'-\delta_{l'})^2} - \frac{l}{(n-\delta_{l'})^2}\right]$$

For a given series (common n' l'):

$$\Delta \mathsf{E} = const - \frac{hcR}{\left(n - \delta_l\right)^2}$$

A plot of  $\Delta E$  against  $1/(n-\delta_l)^2$  gives straight line (adjust  $\delta_l$  for best fit). NB: Ionisation Energy =  $\frac{hcR}{(n-\delta_l)}$  where nl is the lowest state.

## Helium Atom

<u>New Features</u> – 2e in unfilled shells (except Ground State)  $\rightarrow$  2 sources of orbital and spin angular moment. New important effects on energy levels and degeneracies.

## Orbital Angular Momentum -

Primarily concerned with configurations 1snl. Doubly excited states are unstable wrt ionisation.

1s electron has I = 0, therefore total orbital angular momentum is the angular momentum of the excited electron, I. Total orbital angular momentum L = I.

Spin Angular Momentum – Both electrons have  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $m_s = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $-\frac{1}{2}$  (α or β). Ground State (1s<sup>2</sup>) Pauli →  $m_{s1} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$  and  $m_{s2} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ Spin paired (antiparallel) → "singlet" state. Resultant s = 0,  $m_s = 0$ .

Excited Configurations, 1snl

- no restrictions on  $m_{s1}$ ,  $m_{s2}$  from Pauli. Spin Parallel  $\rightarrow$  resultant magnetic moment. Spin Paired (opposed)  $\rightarrow$  no resultant magnetic moment. Define total spin angular momentum, S = s.

$$|S| = \sqrt{(s(s+1))}$$
 h.

shows same commutation relationships as other angular momentum operators.
 → quantisation.

$$S^2 \psi_{spin} = S(s+1)t^2 \psi_{spin}$$
  
 $S$  takes values  $s_1 + s_2 \dots + s_7 - s_2$   
 $= 0 \text{ or } 1$   
 $S_2$  is quantised with eigenvalues Ms  
 $M_S = + S_{3--3} - S$   
For  $S = 1$ ;  $M_S = 1, 0, -1$  triplet  
 $S = 0$ ;  $M_S = 0$  only singlet

In general triplet state has lower energy than singlet state from same configuration.

<u>Selection Rules</u> – New rule,  $\Delta S = 0$ . Therefore singlet  $\leftrightarrow$  triplet is forbidden.

In Absorption: Observe  $1s^2 ({}^1S_0) \rightarrow 1snp ({}^1P)$ 

In Emission: Use discharge lamp – ionisation followed by recombination  $He^+ + e^- \rightarrow He^* \rightarrow emission$ 

Excited atoms formed in configurations. 1snl (<sup>1</sup>L) or 1snl (<sup>3</sup>L) As  $\Delta S = 0$ , two sets of transitions observed. Singlet  $\leftrightarrow$  singlet or triplet  $\leftrightarrow$  triplet.

1s electron shields outer electron from nucleus. Penetration effects → different I states have different energy. ns < np < nd < nf etc.

Spin-Orbit Coupling in many electron atoms –  $J = L + S \rightarrow J^2$  and  $J_z$  quantised.

Quantum Numbers:

J = L + S, ..., | L-S |  $M_J = J, J-1, ..., -J.$ NB: can only occur for triplet states in Helium. e.g. S = 1, L = 1  $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>P "Term" J = 2,1,0  $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>P<sub>2</sub>, <sup>3</sup>P<sub>1</sub>, <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub> "Level"

<u>Additional Selection Rules:</u>  $\Delta J = 0, \pm 1$ 

$$\Delta M_J = 0, \pm 1$$

NB: in He, spin-orbit interaction is very strong  $\rightarrow$  very small splittings.

## Spin Wavefunctions and Pauli Principle

For a 2e system:

For Helium excited states, each electron can have  $m_s = \pm \frac{1}{2}$  (represent  $\psi$  by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ). This suggests 4 possibilities:

Neither singlet nor triplet – electrons are indistinguishable.

Wavefunction must contain both possibilities with equal weighting. Two ways:

$$\psi^{+} = \frac{1}{12} (\psi_{5} + \psi_{+}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[ \alpha(i) \beta(2) + \beta(i) \alpha(2) \Big]$$
  
$$\psi^{-} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{3} - \psi_{+}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[ \alpha(i) \beta(2) - \beta(i) \alpha(2) \Big]$$

Equal probability of electron 1 or 2 being in spin state  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ .

#### <u> Pauli Principle –</u>

Total  $\psi$  must be antisymmetric wrt exchange of any 2 electrons (applies generally to all identical half-integral particles).

Therefore  $\psi_{\text{tot}} = \psi_{\text{space}}\psi_{\text{spin}}$  = antisymmetric wrt interchange.

Consider spin,  $\psi_1\psi_2\psi_{\pm}$ 

$$P_{12} \Psi_{1} = P_{12} \alpha(1) \alpha(2) = \alpha(2) \alpha(1) = \psi_{1}$$
  

$$P_{12} \Psi_{1} = P_{12} \alpha(2) \alpha(2) = \alpha(2) \alpha(1) = \psi_{1}$$
  

$$exchange Similarly,
$$opeabor P_{12} \Psi_{2} = \Psi_{2}$$
  

$$P_{12} \Psi_{2} = \Psi_{2}$$
  

$$P_{12} \Psi_{2} = \Psi_{2}$$
  

$$P_{12} \Psi_{2} = \Psi_{2}$$
  

$$= [\alpha(2)\beta(1) \pm \beta(2)\alpha(1)] / \sqrt{2}$$
  

$$= \Psi_{2}$$
  

$$\Gamma_{1} \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \Psi^{2} \text{ are } (3)$$$$

Hence,  $\psi_{\text{space}}$  must be antisymmetric.

e.g. 1s2s configuration with  $\psi_{\text{spin}}$  symmetric.

$$\varphi_{space} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \varphi_{1s}(r_1) \varphi_{2s}(r_2) - \varphi_{2s}(r_1) \varphi_{1s}(r_2) \right]$$

$$TRIPLET$$

Conversely,

$$\psi^{-} is (a) = 0 \quad \forall space (S)$$

$$\psi_{space} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \phi_{1s}(r_{1}) \phi_{2s}(r_{2}) + \phi_{2s}(r_{1}) \phi_{1s}(r_{2}) \right]$$
Sincust
$$NB \cdot \psi_{11}\psi_{21}, \psi^{+} \quad make \quad up \quad \pm riplet$$

$$\psi^{-} is \quad \pm he \quad \underline{singlet}$$

# Why is Triplet Lower in Energy than Singlet?

# <u>"Fermi Hole"</u>

Probability of finding both electrons at same point in space.

$$P(r_1, r_2) = P(r_1, r_2) = |\psi(r_1, r_2)|^2$$

For Triplet –

$$\psi(r_1,r_2) = -\psi(r_2,r_1)$$

$$\div\psi(r_2,r_1) = -\psi(r_2,r_1)$$

Zero probability - 2 electrons keep apart,  $\Rightarrow$  Fermi Hole at  $r_1 = r_2$ 

For Singlet –

Therefore for triplet state electrons must stay further apart  $\rightarrow$  less repulsion.

Not whole truth though, as in triplet state, 1s electron is less shielded, therefore nl orbital is slightly more compact than corresponding orbital in singlet state.

# Angular Momentum Coupling in many electron atoms

General atom – more than 1e with both orbital and spin angular momentum. e.g.  $C - 1s^22s^22p^2$ . Excited states:  $1s^22s^22p^3d$ , etc.

Configuration split into energy levels by 3 types of interaction -

- a) spin correlation (couples spins, electrostatic).
- b) Orbital electrostatic interaction.
- c) Spin-Orbit Coupling (magnetic).

Pattern of energies, quantum numbers, etc – depend on which effect is largest.

# Russell-Saunders Coupling (L-S Coupling)

(a)  $>\sim$  (b) >> (c) – common for light elements.

a) Define S =  $\Sigma s_i$  –sum of spin angular momenta.

e.g. S =  $s_1 + s_2$ , ... |  $s_1 - s_2$  | for 2 electrons.

b) L =  $\Sigma I_i$  – resultant orbital angular momentum. e.g. L =  $I_1 + I_2$ ,  $I_1 + I_2 - 1$ , ... |  $I_1 - I_2$  | Good quantum numbers L & S  $\rightarrow \psi_{tot}$  is eigenfunction of L<sup>2</sup> and S. e.g. for a 2p 3d configuration:  $I_1 = 1, I_2 = 2, \Rightarrow L = 3,2,1 (F, D, P)$  $s_1 = \frac{1}{2}, s_2 = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow S = 1, 0.$  $\Rightarrow$  Terms are <sup>3</sup>F. <sup>1</sup>F. <sup>3</sup>D. <sup>1</sup>D. <sup>3</sup>P. <sup>1</sup>P.

Singlet-Triplet Splitting – due to spin correlation. Splitting of different L values – due to different electrostatic repulsions (different orbitals). For max L – electrons "orbit" in same direction. For min L – electrons "orbit" in opposite directions ( $\rightarrow$  more repulsions).

If spin-orbit interaction small – spin and orbital angular momenta couple  $\rightarrow$  Total Angular Momentum J = L + S. Hence Quantum Number J = L + S, L + S -1 ...

e.g. <sup>3</sup>F: S=1, L=3  $\rightarrow$  J = 4,3,2.

<u>Degeneracies</u> – Each state J is (2J+1) degenerate.  $M_J = J, J-1, ... -J.$ For 2p 3d configuration there are 60 states.

<u>Lande Interval Rule</u> Spin-orbit Hamiltonian,  $H_{SO} = \sum_{i} J_{i} l_{i} s_{i}$ 

Approximate by hcA L.S

$$F_{Lc} = \frac{1}{2} A \left[ J(J+1) - L(J+1) + S(S+1) \right]$$

$$E(J+1) - E(J) = \frac{h_c A}{2} \left[ (J+1)(J+2) - J(J+1) \right] = h_c A (J+1)$$
(some L & S values)

# Terms from Configurations with Equivalent Open-Shell Electrons

e.g. for  $2p^2$  configuration two equivalent 2p electrons. Pauli Principle  $\rightarrow$  restrictions Would expect I<sub>1</sub> = 1, I<sub>2</sub> = 1, s<sub>1</sub> =  $\frac{1}{2}$ , s<sub>2</sub> =  $\frac{1}{2}$ .  $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>D, <sup>1</sup>D, <sup>3</sup>P, <sup>1</sup>P, <sup>3</sup>S, <sup>1</sup>S. In fact only get <sup>1</sup>D, <sup>3</sup>P, <sup>1</sup>S – why?

## Microstates in decoupled representation

Consider 2p3d configuration again. In limit when all couplings  $\rightarrow 0$ , the defined quantum numbers would be:  $l_1, m_{l1}, s_1, m_{s1}, l_2, m_{l2}, s_2, m_{s2}$ . The 2p3d configuration would then be:  $(2l_1+1)(2s_1+1)(2s_2+1) = 3 \times 2 \times 5 \times 2 = 60$ -fold degenerate.

NOTE: Couplings do not create any new states – they only lift degeneracies.

Furthermore, number of states with given value of  $m_{l1} + m_{s1} + m_{l2} + m_{s2} = \#$  of states (in L-S coupling) with same value of  $m_J$ .

Returning to  $2p^2$  configuration, consider possible microstates, can have situation with both electrons have same n, I, m<sub>I</sub> – NOT ALLOWED

$$m_{L_{1}}=1, m_{S_{1}}=1/2, m_{L_{2}}=1, m_{S_{2}}=1/2 - 2m = m_{T}=2$$
(Since  $M_{L}=2m_{C}=2-part of D$ )
$$m_{L_{1}}=1, m_{S_{1}}=1/2, m_{L_{2}}=0, m_{S_{2}}=1/2$$

$$m_{L_{1}}=0, m_{S_{2}}=1/2, m_{S_{2}}=1/2$$

$$m_{L_{1}}=0, m_{S_{2}}=1/2, m_{S_{2}}=1/2$$

$$m_{L_{1}}=0, m_{S_{2}}=1/2, m_{S_{2}}=1/2$$

Electrons indistinguishable  $\rightarrow$  1 microstate.

Consider all possibilities:

Total number of microstates only 15 (not 60). NB: Degeneracies in L-S representation.

$$^{3}D_{3,2,1}$$
  $D_{2}$   $^{3}P_{2,1,0}$   $P_{1}$   $^{3}S_{1}$   $S_{2}$   
 $7tSt3$   $5$   $5t3t1$   $3$   $3$   $1$ 

Group Microstates according to  $\Sigma m$  (obeying Pauli Principle)

$$m_{3} = +2 + ( 0 - 1 - 2 \\ (1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}) (1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}) (1, \frac{1}{2}, -1, \frac{1}{2}) \\ (1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}) (1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}) (1, -\frac{1}{2}, -1, \frac{1}{2}) \\ (1, \frac{1}{2}, -1, \frac{1}{2}) (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) \\ (1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) \\ (-1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases}$$

No  $\Sigma m = \pm 3$  states  $\rightarrow$  no  ${}^{3}D_{3} \rightarrow$  no  ${}^{3}D.$ 2  $\Sigma m = \pm 3$  states  $\rightarrow$  both  ${}^{1}D_{2}$  and  ${}^{3}P_{2}$ .

<sup>1</sup>D requires  $1m_J=2$ ,  $1m_J=1$ ,  $1m_J=0$ ,  $1m_J=-1$ ... <sup>3</sup>P requires  $1m_J=2$ ,  $2m_J=1$ ,  $3m_J=0$ ,  $2m_J=1$ ... After counting all these states, leaves one other  $\rightarrow$  <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub>.

#### (SEE TUTORIAL QUESTION)

#### Order of Quantum States - Hund's Rules

For a given configuration with LS coupling – order of states normally given by:

- 1) Terms with largest S, i.e. lie lowest in energy.
- 2) For given S, terms with largest L are lowest, e.g.

For less than half full shells lowest J-value has lowest energy.
 For more than half full shells highest J-value has lowest energy.
 (S.O constant. A is negative).

Note  $-p^4$  has same terms as  $p^2$ , therefore O similar to C, but J order reversed: For  $d^2$ , obtain  ${}^{3}F_{2} < {}^{3}F_{3} < {}^{3}F_{4}$ . For  $d^8$ , obtain  ${}^{3}F_{4} < {}^{3}F_{3} < {}^{3}F_{2}$ . Spectra of L-S Coupled Atoms

Selection Rules:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Delta n = anything & \Delta I = \pm 1 & \Delta S = 0 \\ \Delta J = 0, \pm 1 \ (but not \ J=0 \leftrightarrow J=0) & \Delta L = 0, \pm 1 & \Delta M_J = 0, \pm 1 \end{array}$ 

NB: heavier elements show "intercombination" lines e.g.  ${}^{5}S \rightarrow {}^{3}P (\Delta S = 1)$ Spin-Orbit coupling can weakly mix states of different S = breakdown of L-S Coupling scheme.

#### j-j Coupling

Occurs when spin orbit coupling is large compared to spin correlation or orbital/orbital interaction.

NB: spin-orbit coupling is a magnetic interaction and magnetic fields are relativistic electric fields, therefore coupling large for heavier atoms.

If spin-orbit coupling sufficiently large, the spin and orbital angular momentum of each electron coupled  $\rightarrow$  j.

e.g.  $l_1 + s_1 = j_1$  MAGNETIC  $l_2 + s_2 = j_2$  MAGNETIC  $j_1 + j_2 = J$  ELECTROSTATIC

Quantum Numbers,

$$2\rho_{34}^{34} \begin{cases} i_1 = l_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \ l_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \ l_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \ l_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \$$

Now couple  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  to give J states, written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3_{2}, 5_{2} \end{bmatrix}_{4,3,2,1} \begin{bmatrix} 3_{2}, 3_{2} \end{bmatrix}_{3,2,1,0}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1_{2}, 5_{2} \end{bmatrix}_{3,2} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{2}, 3_{2} \end{bmatrix}_{2,1,0}$$

Note: L & S no longer defined – no <sup>1</sup>P, <sup>3</sup>P, etc.

Spectra in j-j Coupling

Selection Rules:

 $\Delta I = \pm 1, \Delta j = 0, \pm 1 \text{ for } 1 \text{ electron and } \Delta I = \Delta j = 0 \text{ for other electrons.}$  $\Delta J = 0, \pm 1 \qquad \qquad \Delta M_J = 0, \pm 1$ 

<u>Energy Levels (j-j) –</u> Determined by  $j_1$  and  $j_2$ :



No L or S, therefore no S/L selection rules. j-j coupled states are a mixture of singlet and triplet components. Some atoms show intermediate behaviour, therefore neither L-S or j-j selection rules are obeyed.

C = L-S Coupled Si Ge Sn Pb = j-j Coupled